

**COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS**  
**WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN**  
**SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH**  
**TOWN OF BROOKFIELD WARRANT FOR STATE ELECTION, NOVEMBER 4, 2008**

WORCESTER SS.

To either of the Constables of the Town of Brookfield

**GREETING:**

In the name of the Commonwealth, you are hereby required to notify and warn the inhabitants of said town of Brookfield who are qualified to vote in the State Election to vote at the

**BROOKFIELD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL , 37 CENTRAL STREET, BROOKFIELD**

on **TUESDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2008**, from 7:00 A.M. to 8:00 P.M. for the following purpose:

**To cast their votes in the State Election for the candidates for the following offices:**

**ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT** . . . . . STATEWIDE  
**SENATOR IN CONGRESS** . . . . . FOR THE COMMONWEALTH  
**REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS** . . . . . SECOND DISTRICT  
**COUNCILLOR** . . . . . SEVENTH DISTRICT  
**SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT** . . . . . WORCESTER, HAMPEDN, HAMPSHIRE & FRANKLIN DISTRICT  
**REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT** . . . . . FIFTH WORCESTER DISTRICT  
**REGISTER OF PROBATE** . . . . . WORCESTER COUNTY

**QUESTION 1: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 6, 2008?

**SUMMARY**

This proposed law would reduce the state personal income tax rate to 2.65% for all categories of taxable income for the tax year beginning on or after January 1, 2009, and would eliminate the tax for all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2010.

The personal income tax applies to income received or gain realized by individuals and married couples, by estates of deceased persons, by certain trustees and other fiduciaries, by persons who are partners in and receive income from partnerships, by corporate trusts, and by persons who receive income as shareholders of "S corporations" as defined under federal tax law. The proposed law would not affect the tax due on income or gain realized in a tax year beginning before January 1, 2009.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A **YES VOTE** would reduce the state personal income tax rate to 2.65% for the tax year beginning on January 1, 2009, and would eliminate the tax for all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2010.

A **NO VOTE** would make no change in state income tax laws.

**QUESTION 2: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 6, 2008?

**SUMMARY**

This proposed law would replace the criminal penalties for possession of one ounce or less of marijuana with a new system of civil penalties, to be enforced by issuing citations, and would exclude information regarding this civil offense from the state's criminal record information system. Offenders age 18 or older would be subject to forfeiture of the marijuana plus a civil penalty of \$100. Offenders under the age of 18 would be subject to the same forfeiture and, if they complete a drug awareness program within one year of the offense, the same \$100 penalty.

Offenders under 18 and their parents or legal guardian would be notified of the offense and the option for the offender to complete a drug awareness program developed by the state Department of Youth Services. Such programs would include ten hours of community service and at least four hours of instruction or group discussion concerning the use and abuse of marijuana and other drugs and emphasizing early detection and prevention of substance abuse.

The penalty for offenders under 18 who fail to complete such a program within one year could be increased to as much as \$1,000, unless the offender showed an inability to pay, an inability to participate in such a program, or the unavailability of such a program. Such an offender's parents could also be held liable for the increased penalty. Failure by an offender under 17 to complete such a program could also be a basis for a delinquency proceeding.

The proposed law would define possession of one ounce or less of marijuana as including possession of one ounce or less of tetrahydrocannabinol ("THC"), or having metabolized products of marijuana or THC in one's body.

Under the proposed law, possessing an ounce or less of marijuana could not be grounds for state or local government entities imposing any other penalty, sanction, or disqualification, such as denying student financial aid, public housing, public financial assistance including unemployment benefits, the right to operate a motor vehicle, or the opportunity to serve as a foster or adoptive parent. The proposed law would allow local ordinances or bylaws that prohibit the public use of marijuana, and would not affect existing laws, practices, or policies concerning operating a motor vehicle or taking other actions while under the influence of marijuana, unlawful possession of prescription forms of marijuana, or selling, manufacturing, or trafficking in marijuana.

The money received from the new civil penalties would go to the city or town where the offense occurred.

A **YES VOTE** would replace the criminal penalties for possession of one ounce or less of marijuana with a new system of civil penalties.

A **NO VOTE** would make no change in state criminal laws concerning possession of marijuana.

### QUESTION 3: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 6, 2008?

#### SUMMARY

This proposed law would prohibit any dog racing or racing meeting in Massachusetts where any form of betting or wagering on the speed or ability of dogs occurs.

The State Racing Commission would be prohibited from accepting or approving any application or request for racing dates for dog racing.

Any person violating the proposed law could be required to pay a civil penalty of not less than \$20,000 to the Commission. The penalty would be used for the Commission's administrative purposes, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature. All existing parts of the chapter of the state's General Laws concerning dog and horse racing meetings would be interpreted as if they did not refer to dogs.

These changes would take effect January 1, 2010. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A **YES VOTE** would prohibit dog races on which betting or wagering occurs, effective January 1, 2010.

A **NO VOTE** would make no change in the laws governing dog racing.

Hereof fail not and make return of this warrant with your doings thereon at the time and place of said voting.

Given under our hands this 21<sup>st</sup> day of October, 2008.

James W. Allen, Chairman

Ronald J. Dackson, Vice Chairman

Rudolf Heller, Clerk

#### Selectmen of Brookfield

Worcester SS :

A True Copy Attest: Joseph F. Muarry

#### Constable of Brookfield

Pursuant to the within Warrant, I have notified and warned the inhabitants of the Town of Brookfield by posting up attested copies of the same, at the Town Hall, at 10:00 am and US Post Office 10:00 am on the same October 22, 2008. Seven days before the date of the Election, as directed.

Joseph F. Muarry Constable of Brookfield

Total Votes Cast

1768

Linda M. Lincoln, CMMC, Brookfield Town Clerk

*Linda M. Lincoln*

**Election results from State Election, November 4, 2008**

**Electors President and Vice President**

Baldwin & Castel	9
Barr & Root	5
McCain & Palin	829
McKinney & Clemente	5
Nadar & G	23
Obama & Biden *	873

**Question #1**

Yes	589
No	1145

**Question #2**

Yes	1173
No	564

**Question #3**

**Senator in Congress**

John F. Kerry*	943
Jeffrey K. Beatty	584
Robert J. Underwood	684

Yes	920
No	809

**Representative in Congress**

Richard E. Neal *	1313
-------------------	------

**Councillor**

Thomas J. Foley *	1265
-------------------	------

**Senator in General Court**

Stephen M. Brewer *	1438
---------------------	------


**Representative in General Court**

Anne M. Gobi *	1018
Stephen Comtois II	640

**Register Of Probate**

Stephen G. Abraham *	1227
----------------------	------

**Total Votes Cast** 1768



**A True Copy Attest: Linda M. Lincoln CMMC  
Town Clerk**